UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/826,738 | 04/16/2004 | James D. Bledsoe | MP0985(13036/26) | 1360 |
| | 7590 09/27/201 ER GILSON & LIONE | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1039 | - | SARPONG, AKWASI | | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60610 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2625 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/27/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| 10/826,738 | | BLEDSOE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | AKWASI M. SARPONG | 2625 | |

| | AKWASI M. SARPONG | 2625 | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth hter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w); er form for appeal by materially red | ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7-8,17,19-38,43-56 and 58-68. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | l be entered and an e: | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | I sufficient reasons why the affidav | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application ir | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /King Y. Poon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 | /Akwasi M Sarpong/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625 | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "wherein the software is stored within a device that includes the print mechanism" and "perform" the function added to Claims 1, 17, 27, 30, 40 and 56 will require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The limitation "wherein the software is stored within a device that includes the print mechanism" and "perform" the function added to Claims 1, 17, 27, 30, 40 and 56 will require further search and consideration. and also applicant argues that the cited reference fails to discloses that in Okubo the new or upgraded processing programs retrieved from the server 7 are not resident on MFP 1.

In reply, Examiner agrees to the applicant's statement however the applicant is arguing a point that was not claimed. The applicant in the cliams filled on 04/13/2010 claimed a system that comprises a software. This means that the software can be in any wherein the system, it can be on the server, MFP or computer. The claims as claimed on 09/15/2010 will be examined as claimed in the claims. The same argument was presented for claims 17, 27, 30, 47 and 56.